Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of the Petition of Intrado Communications of Virginia, Inc. for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Central Telephone Company of Virginia and United Telephone - Southeast, Inc.)))) WC Docket No. 08-33))
In the Matter of the Petition of Intrado Communications of Virginia, Inc. for Arbitration Pursuant to Section 252(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, to Establish an Interconnection Agreement with Verizon South, Inc. and Verizon Virginia, Inc.))) WC Docket No. 08-185)))

THIRTIETH CONSOLIDATED STATUS REPORT OF INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS OF VIRGINIA, INC.

Intrado Communications of Virginia, Inc. ("Intrado"), by its attorneys, respectfully submits this Thirtieth Consolidated Status Report in response to the request from staff of the Wireline Competition Bureau ("Bureau") of the Federal Communications Commission ("Commission" or "FCC").

Overview

In past status reports, Intrado has noted that appeals of two state commission arbitration decisions were pending in federal court – one addressing Intrado's arbitration with AT&T Ohio and one addressing Intrado's arbitration with AT&T North Carolina. Intrado is also engaged in negotiations and state commission arbitration proceedings with various Verizon entities. Finally, Intrado is engaged in negotiations with various CenturyLink (formerly, Embarq) entities,

including state arbitration proceedings. This consolidated status report provides updated information regarding the status of each of the above matters.

Ohio AT&T Litigation. In prior status reports, Intrado has noted that an appeal of an arbitration decision rendered by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") was pending in the Southern District of Ohio concerning Intrado's arbitration with AT&T Ohio.¹ As noted in the Twenty-Seventh Consolidated Status Report, on April 6, 2010, Intrado, AT&T Ohio, and the PUCO filed briefs on the merits with the District Court. AT&T Ohio's reply brief, Intrado's notice of supplemental authority and AT&T Ohio's response thereto were filed with the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report. There have been no additional developments in Ohio since the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report.

North Carolina AT&T Litigation. Intrado has noted that an appeal of an arbitration decision rendered by the North Carolina Utilities Commission ("NCUC") was pending in the Eastern District of North Carolina regarding Intrado's arbitration with AT&T North Carolina ("AT&T").² On April 26, 2010, Intrado, AT&T, and the NCUC filed motions for summary judgment and memoranda in support of those motions with the District Court. These motions and their accompanying memoranda were attached to the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report. On May 28, 2010, Intrado filed an opposition to AT&T's motion for summary judgment. Intrado's opposition is attached hereto as **Attachment A.** On that same day defendant NCUC submitted a response to AT&T's motion for summary judgment, requesting for the District Court to deny AT&T's motion for summary judgment and to grant the motions of the

The Ohio Bell Telephone Company v. Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, et al., Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Case 09-CV-00918-ALM-MRA (S.D. Ohio filed Oct. 15, 2009).

BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. d/b/a AT&T North Carolina v. Finley, Complaint for Declaratory and Injunctive Relief, Case 5:09-CV-00517-BR (E.D.N.C. filed Dec. 2, 2009).

NCUC and Intrado. The NCUC's response is attached hereto as **Attachment B**. Also on May 28, 2010, AT&T filed a response to defendants' motions for summary judgment and memorandum in support of AT&T's motion for summary judgment. AT&T's response is attached hereto as **Attachment C**.

<u>Verizon Matters.</u> Intrado is engaged in ongoing negotiations with Verizon North Inc., Verizon Florida, LLC, GTE Southwest Incorporated, Verizon South, Inc., Verizon Pennsylvania Inc., and Verizon Virginia, Inc. (collectively, "Verizon"), regarding interconnection agreements and state arbitration proceedings between Intrado and Verizon in Texas, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, and North Carolina. The parties continue to discuss compromise agreements covering operations in these states.

Texas Verizon Arbitration.³ As noted in the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report,
Intrado and Verizon are engaged in arbitration proceedings before the Public Utility Commission
of Texas ("PUCT"). On May 27, 2010, the Arbitrators consolidated Intrado's petition for
arbitration with Verizon with its petition for arbitration with AT&T for the limited purpose of a
joint hearing on the Threshold Issue No. 1 and subsequent proceedings related thereto. The
Arbitrators' consolidation order is attached hereto as **Attachment D.** At a June 4, 2010
prehearing conference, the Arbitrators approved a hearing schedule and set a date for a hearing
on the merits for July 13, 2010. A copy of the PUCT's order is attached hereto as **Attachment**E. In addition, at the June 4 prehearing conference, Intrado and Verizon informed the
Arbitrators that they were engaged in settlement discussions regarding an interconnection
agreement in Texas and requested that the Arbitrators delay ruling on a discovery dispute

Petition of Intrado, Inc. for Compulsory Arbitration with GTE Southwest Incorporated d/b/a Verizon Southwest Under the FTA Relating to Establishment of an Interconnection Agreement, PUC Docket No. 36185.

between them pending the discussions. The Arbitrators granted a brief delay in an Order attached hereto as **Attachment F**. Further, Intrado and Verizon filed a status report on their settlement negotiations on June 17, 2010, which is attached hereto as **Attachment G**.

Pennsylvania Verizon Interconnection Agreement. On April 29, 2010, Intrado and Verizon Pennsylvania, Inc. filed an executed interconnection agreement ("Agreement") covering the State of Pennsylvania for approval by the Pennsylvania Public Utility Commission ("PPUC"). A copy of the filed Agreement was provided with Intrado's Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report. On June 16, 2010, the PPUC adopted an Order approving the Agreement. A copy of the Agreement is attached as **Attachment H**.

Other Verizon Matters. There has been no activity in the state arbitration proceedings between Intrado and Verizon in Florida and Delaware since the last status report. Intrado has signed Section 251(c) interconnection agreements with Verizon in Illinois and North Carolina. However, the aforementioned interconnection agreements have yet to be filed with the Commission.

Further, since the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report, Intrado has reached a Section 251(c) interconnection agreement with Verizon Virginia, Inc., pursuant to 47 U.S.C. § 251(c). However, the aforementioned interconnection agreement has yet to be filed with the Virginia Corporation Commission. Intrado has not completed an agreement with Verizon South, Inc. in Virginia as of the date of this Report although discussions between the parties continue.

<u>CenturyLink Matters</u>. As noted in the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report,

Intrado is engaged in ongoing negotiations with the Central Telephone Company of Virginia and

United Telephone – Southeast, Inc. (collectively, "CenturyLink"), including the status of other

state arbitration proceedings pending between Intrado and CenturyLink entities. There have been no developments since the Twenty-Ninth Consolidated Status Report.

Respectfully submitted,

INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS OF VIRGINIA, INC.

Craig W. Donaldson Rebecca Ballesteros

INTRADO COMMUNICATIONS OF VIRGINIA, INC.

1601 Dry Creek Drive

Date: June 24, 2010

Longmont, Colorado 80503

Telephone: 720.494.5800 Facsimile: 720.494.6600

Steyen A. Augustino Edward A. Yorkgitis, Jr. Aaron M. Gregory* Christopher S. Koves

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP 3050 K Street, N.W., Suite 400

Washington, D.C. 20007-5108

Telephone: 202.342.8400 Facsimile: 202.342.8452

Its Attorneys

*Not admitted to practice in the District of Columbia. Practicing under the supervision of

attorneys licensed to practice in the District of Columbia.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Christopher S. Koves, hereby certify that on the 24th day of June 2010, I served a copy of the foregoing Consolidated Status Report to the following parties via the method indicated:

Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, S.W. Washington, D.C. 20554 VIA ECFS

Michele Levy Berlove
William Dever
William Kehoe
Carol Simpson
Mathew Warner
Federal Communications Commission
Wireline Competition Bureau
Competition Policy Division
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

John E. Benedict Director—Federal Regulatory Affairs CenturyLink 801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 612 Washington, D.C. 20004 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL Jeanne W. Stockman CenturyLink Mailstop #NCWKFR0313 14111 Capital Boulevard Wake Forest, North Carolina 27587 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Margaret M. McCready Verizon 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 400 West Washington, D.C. 20005 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

James Pachulski Law Offices of James Pachulski TechNet Law Group, P.C. 6001 Montrose Road, Suite 509 Rockville, Maryland 20852 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Curtis L. Groves Verizon 1320 North Courthouse Road, 9th Floor Arlington, Virginia 22201 VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Christopher S. Koves